AGENDA
State and Public School Life and Health Insurance Board
Benefits Sub-Committee

January 9, 2015

10:00 a.m.

EBD Board Room – 501 Building, Suite 500

I. Call to Order .................................................................................. Shelby McCook, Chairman

II. Approval of Oct. 3, & Dec. 5, 2014 Minutes ............................... Shelby McCook, Chairman

III. Medicare Advantage Plans ......................................................... Mark Meadors, Consultant

IV. Director’s Report ................................................................. Bob Alexander, EBD Executive Director

Upcoming Meetings
February 6, 2015
March 6, 2015

NOTE: All material for this meeting will be available by electronic means only asepse-board@dfa.arkansas.gov

Notice: Silence your cell phones. Keep your personal conversations to a minimum. Observe restrictions designating areas as “Members and Staff only”
State and Public School Life and Health Insurance Board
Benefits Sub-Committee
Minutes
January 9, 2015

The Benefits Sub-Committee of the State and Public School Life and Health Insurance Board (hereinafter called the Committee) met on January 9, 2015 in the EBD Board Room, 501 Woodlane, Suite 500, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Members Present
Janis Harrison
Carla Wooley-Haugen
Becky Walker
Shelby McCook
Jeff Altemus
Angela Avery
Claudia Moran

Members Absent
Dan Honey

Others Present
Bob Alexander, Executive Director, Employee Benefits Division (EBD)

John Kirtley, David Keisner, Dwight Davis, UAMS; Lori Eden, Stella Greene, Ethel Whittaker, Leslie Smith, Janna Keathley, Marla Wallace, EBD; Kristi Jackson, Dale Branda, Jennifer Vaughn, ComPsych; Pam Lawrence, AHH; Mark Watts, Nicholas Poole, ASEA; BJ Himes, Andra Kaufman, Karen Langley, QualChoice; Wayne Whitley, Ronda Walthall, Larry Dickerson, AHTD; Treg Long, ACS; Andy Davis, Arkansas Democrat Gazette; Jackie Baker, ASP; Ro Summers, Gini Ingram, ACHI; Marlo James, AEA; Takisha Sanders, Health Advantage; Ashley Younger, Mitchell Williams; Margaret Zakrzewski, ASTA

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Shelby McCook, Chairman

Approval of Minutes

A request was made by McCook to approve the minutes from October 3, 2014. Altemus made the motion to approve. Wooley-Haugen seconded. All were in favor. A request was made by McCook to approve the minutes from December 5, 2014. Walker made the motion to approve. Avery seconded. All were in favor.

Minutes approved
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January 9, 2015
MEDICARE ADVANTAGE: *by Mark Meadors, Consultant*

A Medicare Advantage Plan is a group Medicare Solution that offers Part A (Hospitalization), Part B (Physician and Outpatient Services) and/or Part D (Pharmacy Benefits).

The program can be used as a tool to limit a plan’s exposure without lowering benefits, restricting eligibility and/or increasing retiree contributions.

A Medicare Advantage Plan can be either fully or Self-Insured but the majority are Fully-Insured.

It can include a Pharmacy Benefit or a Plan may choose to manage it separately.

It can either mirror existing benefits or be customized to better serve the needs of that specific group.

Members would enjoy the same provider access as they do now.

It can also offer programs which may include senior-focused wellness, fitness, behavioral health and clinical programs (similar to those provided to active employees covered under a state’s health plan) at no additional cost.

A number of large Retirement Systems currently offer a Medicare Advantage Plan to their eligible retirees and/or their dependents. There are 26 states that have implemented a Medicare Advantage Plan with their State Health Plan Retirement System. This includes Teacher Retirement Systems only and State/Teacher retiree health plans. Currently, over 15 million Medicare beneficiaries have enrolled in a Medicare Advantage Plan. This includes over 100,000 seniors in Arkansas.

The savings for a Medicare Advantage Plan could be as much as $8 million.

Alexander reported the carrier receives funds from the Federal Government for the Medicare Advantage Program. With the additional funds additional services are provided. This often eliminates having to purchase a supplemental Plan.

Alexander reported the funding guidelines are very complex in terms of how much funding can be received. Several factors such as age, risk, and the amount of sick members are considered for funding. In addition, there are star ratings, which is higher quality in the plan. There are additional benefits for higher quality.

McCook recommended the committee consider an RFP to mirror the current coverage, and fully insured. McCook recommends the pharmacy plan have a
separate bid for ASE and PSE. McCook has concerns with the retired teachers making major decisions regarding their plans.

Harrison motioned for a recommendation for the Board to study developing an RFP for a fully insured product, including pharmacy plan D, but priced separately. It should also include an open/closed network. The committee would like the Board to consider an RFP with the above guidelines. Walker seconded. All were in favor.

**Motion Approved**

**DIRECTOR’S REPORT: by Bob Alexander, Executive Director EBD**

Alexander reported on the end of year financials. For ASE there was a loss of $728,000 for the month, and a $23,436,000 year-to-date gain. December was a five week claim month, and the fifth week of claims was $4.2 million. All projected subsidy is fully funded. Unallocated reserves are $25.7 million compared to 2013, which ended with $2.9 million of unallocated reserves. For PSE there was a loss of $2.8 million for December. The fifth week of claims was $4.5 million. The year-to-date gain is $28,460,000. Unallocated reserves are $14,893,000 compared to 2013 net assets, which showed a loss of $2.5 million.

Alexander reported there were 600 part-time PSE employees terminated from the plan. The previous projection was 9,000 to terminate. Most districts chose to retain their part-time employees, therefore their hours were increased.

Alexander reported there were 900 spouses terminated from the ASE Plan. PSE had only 800. Spousal affidavit correspondences were sent on three occasions, however, many members did not respond. As a result many are appealing. The appeals are in process.

Alexander reported there are 7,000 members that have not established a HSA. This amount will decrease to possibly 3,500. After meeting with The Attorney General, Alexander reported, those not establishing a HSA, coverage will not terminate. The statue is not clear in terms of deadlines to establish an account and the penalty for not establishing an account. Alexander recommended the Legislators and the taskforce to review the statue and requirements for vital changes, due to the financial obligation to the Plan.

Altemus motioned for the board to recommend to the taskforce, repeal the law for the requirements of the HSA due to the cost to the Plan. Walker seconded. All were in favor.

**Motion Approved**
Altemus requested further discussion on the previous topic of anesthesia for a colonoscopy procedure. There are a few clinics that require anesthesia. However, it’s not covered by the plan. There are possibly 50,000 colonoscopies the plan pays for each year. The average cost is $500.00 - $1,200.00.

Altemus motioned to obtain information regarding anesthesia for colonoscopies for the board to review and make decisions regarding coverage. Harrison seconded. All were in favor.

**Motion Approved**

McCook requested further discussion regarding PSE Life Insurance. Alexander requested additional time for preparation. However, Alexander briefly reported the loss ratio is 1000% for retirees Life Insurance. The rate is the same as active. Generally, profit is in supplemental coverage. Purchasing a supplement is less expensive. However, it could eliminate the plan.

**Meeting Adjourned**
A DISCUSSION OF MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLANS TO THE STATE & PUBLIC SCHOOL LIFE & HEALTH BOARD BENEFITS SUBCOMMITTEE

Presented By:
Mark Meadors
BancorpSouth Insurance Services, Inc.
**WHAT IS A MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLAN?**

- It’s a group Medicare solution that offers Part A (Hospitalization), Part B (Physician and Outpatient Services) and/or Part D (Pharmacy Benefits);
- The program can be used as a tool to limit a plan’s exposure without lowering benefits, restricting eligibility and/or increasing retiree contributions;
- A Medicare Advantage Plan can be either Fully or Self-Insured but the majority are Fully-Insured;
- It can include a Pharmacy Benefit or a Plan may choose to manage that separately;
- It can either mirror existing benefits or be customized to better serve the needs of a specific group;
- Members would enjoy the same provider access as they do now;
- Additionally it can offer programs which may include senior-focused wellness, fitness, behavioral health and clinical programs (similar to those provided to active employees covered under a state’s health plan) at no additional cost.
WHO CURRENTLY OFFERS MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLANS?

• A number of large Retirement System plans currently offer a Medicare Advantage Plan to their eligible retirees and/or their dependents;

• 26 States have a State Health Plan and/or Retirement System that has implemented a Medicare Advantage Plan;

• This includes both stand-alone Teacher Retirement Systems and combined (State and Teacher) retiree health plans. Examples include KY, WV, TX, GA and NC;

• Additionally, over 15 million Medicare beneficiaries (30%) have enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans;

• Over 100,000 seniors in Arkansas are enrolled in a Medicare Advantage Plan.
Could a Medicare Advantage Plan offer greater cost savings over a traditional retiree health plan?

• Possibly yes. In addition to the subsidies a Retiree Health Plan may receive from the Federal Government, a Medicare Advantage plan may also receive an additional subsidy based off a quality rating from CMS which looks at factors such as member satisfaction, quality of care and patient outcomes;

• Based off of research conducted in April 2014, both the ASE and PSE plans could expect to see an estimated cost savings around $8,000,000 (Note the ASE 65+ plan offers prescription drug coverage while the PSE 65+ plan does not cover prescription drug coverage which was taken into account);

• Additionally, both ASE and PSE active and under 65 retiree plans could realize additional savings because of reduced exposure.
IS THERE ANY POTENTIAL DOWNSIDE TO OFFERING A **MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLAN**?

• Possibly yes. Should the Federal Government choose to cut the subsidies to Medicare Advantage Plans, the costs share to the members may increase;

• Should the Medicare Advantage Plan provider lose a portion or all of their quality rating from CMS the costs could increase;

• Because the plan would be separate from the Active and under 65 ASE and PSE plans a poor claims year could have a negative impact on the Medicare Advantage premiums;
POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS

• Issue a formal RFP to look at and compare costs to current;

• RFP would be for a Fully Insured plan;

• RFP would look at two scenarios:
  - One with Pharmacy included;
  - One without Pharmacy.

• Once received the winning RFP would be analyzed by the Plan Actuary so as to gauge any potential impact to the ASE/PSE programs;

• All findings would be presented to the Benefits Subcommittee so as to make a formal recommendation to the Board as how to proceed.
QUESTIONS
Medicare Advantage

Since the 1970s, Medicare beneficiaries have had the option to receive their Medicare benefits through private health plans, mainly health maintenance organizations (HMOs), as an alternative to the federally administered traditional Medicare program. The Balanced Budget Act (BBA) of 1997 named Medicare’s managed care program “Medicare+Choice” and the Medicare Modernization Act (MMA) of 2003 renamed it “Medicare Advantage.” Medicare payments to plans are projected to total $156 billion in 2014, accounting for 30% of total Medicare spending (CBO April 2014 Medicare Baseline).

Over the past decades, Medicare payment policy for plans has shifted from one that produced savings to one that focused more on expanding access to private plans and providing extra benefits to Medicare private plan enrollees. These policy changes resulted in Medicare paying private plans more per enrollee than the cost of care for beneficiaries in traditional Medicare, on average (MedPAC 2010). The Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 produced another shift in payment policy by reducing federal payments to Medicare Advantage plans over time, bringing them closer to the average costs of care under the traditional Medicare program. It also provided for new bonus payments to plans based on quality ratings, beginning in 2012, and required plans beginning in 2014 to maintain a medical loss ratio of at least 85%, restricting the share of premiums that Medicare Advantage plans can use for administrative expenses and profits.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE ENROLLMENT

In 2014, the majority of the 54 million people on Medicare are in the traditional Medicare program, with 30% enrolled in a Medicare Advantage plan (Exhibit 1). Since 2004, the number of beneficiaries enrolled in private plans has almost tripled from 5.3 million to 15.7 million in 2014.

Enrollment in private plans varies by state, ranging from 51% in Minnesota to less than 1% in Alaska, and vary within states, by county (Exhibit 2).
MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PLAN TYPES

Medicare contracts with insurers to offer the following different types of health plans:

Local HMOs and PPOs contract with provider networks to deliver Medicare benefits. HMOs account for the majority (64%) of total Medicare Advantage enrollment in 2014; local PPOs, account for 23% of all Medicare Advantage enrollees (Exhibit 3).

Regional PPOs were established to provide rural beneficiaries greater access to Medicare Advantage plans, and cover entire statewide or multi-state regions. Regional PPOs account for 8% of all Medicare Advantage enrollees in 2014.

Private Fee-for-Service plans (PFFS), as authorized in 1997, were not required to establish networks, but since 2011, have generally been required to do so. PFFS enrollment increased ten-fold from 0.2 million enrollees in 2005 to 2.2 million in 2009, but has since declined to 0.3 million enrollees in 2014, or 2% of all Medicare Advantage enrollees.

Other types of private plans (e.g., cost plans, HCPP, PACE plans, medical savings accounts, demonstrations and pilots) account for 3% of private plan enrollment. In a small number of states (e.g., MN), a large percentage of private plan enrollment is in cost plans, which are paid based on the “reasonable cost” of providing services and, unlike Medicare Advantage plans, do not assume financial risk if federal payments do not cover their costs.

Special Needs Plans (SNPs), typically HMOs, are restricted to beneficiaries who: (1) are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid; (2) live in long-term care institutions (or would otherwise require an institutional level of care); or (3) have certain chronic conditions. Since 2006, the number of SNP enrollees has increased from 0.5 million to 1.9 million enrollees in 2014; enrollment in SNPs for dual eligibles accounts for 82% of total enrollment in SNPs.

PAYMENTS TO MEDICARE PRIVATE PLANS

Medicare pays Medicare Advantage plans a capitated (per enrollee) amount to provide all Part A and B benefits. In addition, Medicare makes a separate payment to plans for providing prescription drug benefits under Medicare Part D. Prior to the BBA of 1997, Medicare paid plans 95% of average traditional Medicare costs in each county because HMOs were thought to be able to provide care more efficiently than could be provided in traditional Medicare. These payments were not adjusted for health status, and HMOs typically enrolled beneficiaries who were healthier than average.
Beginning in the late 1990s, Congress revised the payment formula to attract more plans throughout the country, particularly in rural and certain urban areas. The BBA of 1997 established a payment floor, applicable almost exclusively to rural counties. The Benefits Improvement and Protection Act (BIPA) of 2000 created payment floors for urban areas and increased the floor for rural areas. The MMA of 2003 increased payments across all areas.

Since 2006, Medicare has paid plans under a bidding process. Plans submit “bids” based on estimated costs per enrollee for services covered under Medicare Parts A and B; all bids that meet the necessary requirements are accepted. The bids are compared to benchmark amounts that are set by a formula established in statute and vary by county (or region in the case of regional PPOs). The benchmarks are the maximum amount Medicare will pay a plan in a given area. If a plan’s bid is higher than the benchmark, enrollees pay the difference between the benchmark and the bid in the form of a monthly premium, in addition to the Medicare Part B premium. If the bid is lower than the benchmark, the plan and Medicare split the difference between the bid and the benchmark; the plan’s share is known as a “rebate,” which must be used to provide supplemental benefits to enrollees. Medicare payments to plans are then adjusted based on enrollees’ risk profiles.

The ACA of 2010 revised the methodology for paying plans and reduced the benchmarks. For 2011, benchmarks were frozen at 2010 levels. Reductions in benchmarks will be phased-in over 2 to 6 years between 2012 and 2016. By 2017, when the new benchmarks are fully phased-in, the benchmarks will range from 95% of traditional Medicare costs in the top quartile of counties with relatively high per capita Medicare costs (e.g., Miami-Dade), to 115% of traditional Medicare costs in the bottom quartile of counties with relatively low Medicare costs (e.g., Boise).

The ACA specified that plans with higher quality ratings would receive bonus payments added to their benchmarks, beginning in 2012. The ACA also reduced rebates for all plans, but allowed plans with higher quality ratings to keep a larger share of the rebate than plans with lower quality ratings. A CMS demonstration was implemented in 2012 that superseded bonuses specified by the ACA, raised the size of the bonus payments, and increased the number of plans that would receive bonus payments, providing an additional $8 billion in bonuses between 2012 and 2014.

SUPPLEMENTAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFITS

Medicare Advantage plans are paid to provide all Medicare benefits. In addition, if they receive rebates, they are required to use these payments to provide additional benefits, such as eyeglasses, or reduce premiums or cost sharing for covered benefits. Medicare Advantage plans are generally required to offer at least one plan that covers the Part D drug benefit. In 2014, 83% of Medicare Advantage plans offer prescription drug coverage, and 50% provide some coverage in the gap. All Part D enrollees receive a 50% discount on brand-name drugs in the gap, beginning in 2011. Since 2011, all plans have been required to limit beneficiaries’ out-of-pocket spending to no more than $6,700.
**MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PREMIUMS**

The average premium for enrollees of Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug plans will be $35 per month in 2014, similar to premiums in 2013 and 2012. Premiums were lower for HMOs and regional PPOS than for local PPOS and PFFS plans; we do not know whether cost sharing for individual services has changed and thus do not know to what extent enrollees’ out-of-pocket expenses have changed (Exhibit 4).

**FUTURE ISSUES**

Historically, Congress has enacted a number of changes that affect the role of private plans under Medicare, including adding new types of plans to the program, increasing or decreasing Medicare payments to plans, tightening the rules governing the marketing of the plans, and even changing the name of the program (from “Medicare+Choice” to “Medicare Advantage”). The Affordable Care Act of 2010 made a number of changes to the Medicare Advantage program, driven largely by concerns about the payment system and its effect on Medicare spending.

In 2014, Medicare Advantage markets and plans will look much as they did in 2013, in terms of the number of plans available to beneficiaries. Over the longer term, companies offering Medicare Advantage plans may respond to payment changes in several different ways, depending on the circumstances of the company, the location of their plans, their historical commitment to the Medicare market, their ability to leverage efficiencies in the delivery of care to enrollees, and possibly their quality ratings and bonus payments. Decisions made by these firms could have important implications for beneficiaries with respect to their choice of plans, out-of-pocket costs, and access to providers.

Achieving a reasonable balance among multiple goals for the Medicare program—including keeping Medicare fiscally strong, setting adequate payments to private plans, and meeting beneficiaries’ health care needs—will continue to be a critical issue for policymakers in the future.
THE MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PROGRAM IN 2014
April 7, 2014

Executive Summary:

Private insurance plans were introduced into the Medicare program in the 1980s based on the theory that private plans could provide coordinated, high-quality care, and enhanced benefits for beneficiaries at a cost below that of the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) program. Under this scenario, beneficiaries would have a choice of plans from which they could obtain extra benefits for an extra premium.

Goal with Affordable Care Act:

The Affordable Care Act includes changes that are designed to make good on this original rationale:

- Providing a choice of plans,
- Maintaining and improving quality,
- Providing better value,
- Keeping costs comparable to those of fee-for-service Medicare.

Baseline Before 2010:

Prior to the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, Medicare Advantage (MA) plans were being paid 114 percent of FFS costs on average – translating into an extra $1,280 per MA enrollee or $14 billion in higher aggregate payments, and a $3.35 per month increase in the Part B premiums paid by all Medicare beneficiaries during 2009.

Experience Under the Affordable Care Act:

In 2010, the Affordable Care Act made significant changes to the MA program that were designed to reduce higher MA payments while providing incentives for quality improvements. Concerns were raised that the Affordable Care Act would lead to a drop in beneficiary enrollment and a drop in plan participation. To the contrary, experience
indicates that the MA program is moving in the right direction – beneficiaries have a choice of plans and are enrolling in record numbers, costs are coming down relative to FFS, while benefits remain stable and quality is improving.

- **Beneficiary Choice of MA Plans Remains High** – Nearly all beneficiaries (99 percent) continue to have access to an MA plan in their area in 2014. Additionally, the number of plan choices has generally remained stable since the transition to FFS-based rates began in 2012. In 2014, the average beneficiary can choose from among 10 plans, including plans from a wide number of MA organizations.

- **Costs Are Coming Down** – The transition to FFS-based rates under the Affordable Care Act, which began in 2012 and is scheduled to end in 2017, has already begun to reduce payments to MA plans relative to FFS Medicare (from 114 percent of FFS on average in 2009 to 106 percent of FFS in 2014). Additionally, average MA beneficiary premiums have decreased by 10 percent since the passage of the Affordable Care Act.

- **Quality Is Improving** – In 2014, over half of all MA enrollees are enrolled in plans with four or more stars, which represents a significant increase from the 24 percent of enrollees who were in such plans in 2011 and the 37 percent of enrollees who were in such plans in 2013. Additionally, over one-third of MA contracts have four or more stars in 2014, compared to 14 percent in 2011.

- **Enrollment is at a Historic High and Increasing** – MA enrollment has increased by over 30 percent since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act – enrollment is now at levels not ever seen before (private plan contracting began in the Medicare program in the early 1980s). Nationwide, approximately 15 million Medicare beneficiaries are now enrolled in an MA plan, which represents nearly 30 percent of all beneficiaries in the Medicare program, a historic high.

- **Plan Profitability Remains Strong** – Insurers’ total revenues have increased by 29 percent, their combined operating profits have increased by 13 percent, and their profit margins have remained stable since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, ranging from 5 to 6 percent on average. Health insurance companies have looked to Medicare Advantage as an area to increase enrollment as employer-sponsored insurance coverage has eroded.
FULL REPORT:  
THE MEDICARE ADVANTAGE PROGRAM IN 2014

I. Overview

There has been considerable interest in the impact of the Affordable Care Act1 on the Medicare Advantage (MA) program. This paper provides an overview of pre-Affordable Care Act and post-Affordable Care Act trends in Medicare spending, plan premiums, beneficiary choice of plans, and quality in the MA program. The paper starts with a review of private plan contracting in Medicare to provide a broader context for understanding these trends.

A Brief History of Private Plans in the Medicare Program

- Private health plans were expanded in the Medicare program in the early 1980s, a time of rapidly growing health spending, because of their potential to provide higher-quality care and enhanced benefits at a lower cost to taxpayers and beneficiaries.

- At that time, Medicare private plans were paid 95 percent of the estimated cost of treating an average beneficiary in the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) program.

- However, beginning in the late 1990s, the linkage between private plan payments and FFS costs was weakened, and by 2009, Medicare Advantage (MA) plans were being paid 114 percent of FFS costs on average—translating into an extra $1,280 per MA enrollee or $14 billion in higher payments, and a $3.35 per month increase in the Part B premiums paid by all Medicare beneficiaries during that year.

- Despite the extra costs to the Medicare program, National Center for Quality Assurance surveys found that quality in MA plans was not better than that of the traditional program.

- The Affordable Care Act implemented changes designed to reduce higher MA payments while providing incentives for quality improvements.

- The health insurance industry has been profitable since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, with total operating margins ranging between 5 and 6 percent on average between 2010 and 2013 for ten publicly-traded insurers participating in the MA program.

- Health insurance companies have looked to Medicare Advantage as an area to increase enrollment as employer-sponsored insurance coverage has eroded.

- The transition to fee-for-service-based rates is reducing previous higher payments to MA plans, which is encouraging MA plans to become more efficient without reductions in quality or access.

- Beneficiaries continue to have a large number of MA plans to choose from. Beneficiary enrollment is increasing and is at historically high levels in early 2014. Additionally, beneficiaries are increasingly enrolling in MA plans with high-quality ratings; in 2014, over half (53 percent) of all MA enrollees are in plans with four or more stars, compared with 24 percent in 2011.

---

1 In this paper, The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 are collectively known as the Affordable Care Act.
II. History of Private Plans in the Medicare Program

The private plan option has been available in Medicare for over 30 years; it has grown considerably over that period from representing a small part of the program to accounting for nearly 30 percent of all enrollment today. Medicare Advantage (MA) is the name of the current program that allows beneficiaries to enroll in private health plans, rather than having their care covered through Medicare’s traditional fee-for-service (FFS) program. The rationale for allowing private plan participation in Medicare was to encourage private plans to: 1) use their provider networks to coordinate high-quality care for beneficiaries, 2) provide enhanced benefits, and 3) do so at a cost below that of the traditional FFS program. For example, the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) of 1982 provided assured savings for taxpayers by paying private plans 95 percent of the estimated cost of treating an average beneficiary in the traditional FFS program (known as the adjusted average per capita cost (AAPCC)). Based on data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Medicare private plan enrollment grew to about 6 million beneficiaries by 1997, primarily concentrated in urban counties, but various studies raised concerns about excess spending due to inadequate risk adjustment of payments to reflect the healthier-than-average population that was enrolled in the private plans.

Medicare Private Plan Trends Prior to the Affordable Care Act

Beginning in the late 1990s, Medicare’s private plan program underwent several significant changes that weakened the linkage between private plan payment rates and FFS costs, and for the first time resulted in plan payments in some areas being higher than the costs of treating similar patients in the traditional FFS program. In particular, several provisions in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA) and the Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA) sought to expand Medicare private plans beyond urban areas by increasing the minimum payment rates in rural areas so that they exceeded comparable FFS costs; however, the increased payment rates for rural areas were not sufficient to attract increased plan participation. Meanwhile, overall private plan participation and enrollment decreased during this period because the BBA’s reductions in FFS payments and limits on annual increases in the capitation rates that Medicare paid private plans occurred at a time when underlying health care costs began to rise much

---

2 Medicare’s ability to offer private health plans as options for beneficiaries began with the Social Security Amendments of 1972, which authorized risk contracting with managed care plans. However, it was not until changes made in the risk sharing arrangements under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) of 1982 that plan participation and enrollment began to increase.

3 For example, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission has noted that “Private plans, because they are paid a capitated rate rather than on an FFS basis, have greater incentives to innovate and use care management techniques.” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, “The Medicare Advantage Program: Status Report,” Chapter 13, Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy, March 2014.


5 For example, the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA), which established the Medicare+Choice program, set national payment floors for lower cost counties, and guaranteed a minimum 2 percent annual increase to all plans; and the Benefits Improvement and Protection Act of 2000 (BIPA) increased the national payment floor; created a second, higher urban floor; and increased the minimum payment update from March 2001 through the end of the calendar year.

6 Under the Medicare+Choice (M+C) program, the county-level payment rates were set based on the greater of: 1) a minimum increase from the previous year’s rate (2 percent), 2) the applicable floor rate, or 3) a blend of the local rate and a national rate. The 2 percent minimum increase was designed to provide protection for private plans due to
more rapidly.\(^8\)\(^9\) As a result, private plan enrollment decreased from 6.3 million in 1999 to 4.7 million by 2003 (see Figure 1).

Subsequently, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) authorized the MA program, which included a new competitive bidding process in which MA plans were required to submit bids against a fixed benchmark to provide services to Medicare beneficiaries at the local or regional level, beginning with the 2006 contract year.\(^10\) The MMA also provided immediate enhancements to MA plan payment rates (such as a 6.3 percent minimum update for 2004) and other program improvements that were designed to encourage plan participation and reverse the downward trend in Medicare private health plan enrollment. As a result of the increased payment rates under the MMA, and facilitated by an increase in the number of private fee-for-service plans (PFFS) being offered,\(^11\) MA enrollment more than doubled between 2005 and 2010 (increasing from 5.5 million to 11.4 million).


\(^10\) Specifically, plans whose bids are below the county benchmark receive their per capita bid risk adjusted for each enrollee, plus a rebate equal to 75 percent of the difference between the bid and the benchmark. Plans bidding above the benchmark amount receive a risk adjusted per capita payment equal to the benchmark and must charge a supplemental premium to beneficiaries.

\(^11\) Overall, MA enrollment increased by 68 percent between 2005 and 2008 (3.7 million new enrollees), with PFFS plans accounting for more than half of the total increase in MA enrollees during that period (2.0 million). PFFS plans, which were established under the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, were initially not required to have contracted provider networks in order to meet Medicare’s access standards; instead, they were allowed to deem that a provider had a contract with the plan if they agreed to accept Medicare FFS rates as payment and met other requirements. Studies have shown that the absence of network requirements made PFFS plans particularly attractive to MA organizations and enrollees during the first few years of the MA program. (For more information, see M. Gold & S. Peterson, “Analysis of the Characteristics of Medicare Advantage Plan Participation,” prepared for ASPE/HHS by Mathematica Policy Research, 2006.)
The Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008 (MIPPA) provided for a small reduction in MA payments by phasing out the inclusion of indirect medical education (IME) costs in the calculation of MA payment rates. MIPPA also included a provision that was designed to slow the growth in PFFS enrollment: a requirement that most PFFS plans develop written provider contracts beginning in 2011.12

However, there continued to be considerable concern that payment rates for MA plans were too high relative to the costs of caring for comparable beneficiaries under the traditional FFS program. Indeed, MA payment rates were estimated to exceed FFS payments by a considerable amount; for example the average ratio of MA payments to FFS rates was 114 percent in 2009 nationally. Additionally, the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC) noted that “In 2009, Medicare spent roughly $14 billion dollars more for the beneficiaries enrolled in MA plans than it would have spent if they had stayed in FFS Medicare.”13

12 As discussed earlier, prior to 2011, PFFS plans were not required to have a contracted provider network as long as they paid willing providers based on Medicare FFS rates.

13 MedPAC also noted that “To support the extra spending, Part B premiums were higher for all Medicare beneficiaries (including those in FFS). CMS estimated that the Part B premium was $3.35 per month higher in 2009 than it would have been if spending for MA enrollees had been the same as in FFS.” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, “The Medicare Advantage Program,” Chapter 4, Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy, March 2010.
**Higher payments:** The concerns about the higher payments were greater than just their impact on Medicare program spending. The higher payments undermined the competitive rationale for private plan participation in Medicare. Because plans were submitting their bids (based on their expected costs for providing care to a beneficiary) relative to a known and generous benchmark, and rebates equal to 75 percent of the difference between their bid and the benchmark, there was no need to compete with each other or with the traditional program by becoming more efficient or by providing higher quality care.  

**Quality Did Not Improve:** Indeed, in spite of years of increased costs, prior to the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, there was no evidence of better quality in MA plans relative to the traditional Medicare program. Although MA enrollees generally expressed high levels of satisfaction with the care they received, and with their providers and health plans, the National Center for Quality Assurance (NCQA) found that MA plans’ performance on various quality measures for clinical processes and intermediate outcomes was “flat” between 2005 and 2008. Additionally, MedPAC found that there was considerable variation in quality performance across plans, with newer plans performing worse than established plans on many measures.

- **Changes to Private Plans Under the Affordable Care Act**

In 2010, the Affordable Care Act made significant changes to the MA program that were designed to reduce higher MA payments while providing incentives for quality improvements. Most notably, the Affordable Care Act required a transition from MA payments that were significantly higher than FFS (114 percent of FFS in 2009) to comparable FFS-based MA payment rates, beginning in 2012. Additionally, the law reduced MA plans’ rebate levels and based rebates on plans’ five-star quality ratings. The Affordable Care Act also provided for additional quality bonus payments (QBPs) for MA contracts that meet quality standards measured under the five-star quality rating system.

---


15 For example, the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) found that “While payment policy in the MA program has led to growth in the number of plans available, growth in access to plans across the country, and increased enrollment, the higher funding has not necessarily resulted in cost containment or better quality of care for enrollees.” Cited in Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, “The Medicare Advantage Program,” Chapter 3, Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy, March 2009, p. 263.


18 Under the Affordable Care Act section 3201, the county rates transition on a two-, four- or six-year schedule to a methodology based on a percentage of estimated FFS per capita costs in each county. Counties are grouped into quartiles by their relative FFS spending; rates for counties in the highest cost quartile are set at 95% of FFS costs and counties in the lower cost quartiles are set at 100%, 107.5% and 115% of FFS costs respectively.

19 The Affordable Care Act provides for a transition from the pre-Affordable Care Act rates to the FFS-based Affordable Care Act rates. During the transition period, county-level MA payment rates are calculated based on a blend of these two rates, with various counties transitioning to the FFS-based rates in two, four or six years, beginning in 2012.
III. The Impact of the Affordable Care Act on the Medicare Advantage Program

Prior to the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, concerns were raised about how the transition of MA plan payments to the FFS-based rates provided for in the Affordable Care Act would affect plan participation, enrollment, premiums and benefits. With the enactment, some predicted that the reduction in higher MA payments would cause a significant number of MA plans to withdraw from the market, leading to reductions in plan availability, enrollment and benefits, and increased costs to beneficiaries. Other experts predicted that the changes under the Affordable Care Act would strengthen the MA program by encouraging MA plans to compete based on price and quality, and achieve greater efficiencies for taxpayers and beneficiaries. The recent data displayed below support the latter arguments, as early trends suggest that since the Affordable Care Act, costs have decreased and quality has improved, while enrollment continues to grow. The scheduled quality bonus payments (QBPs) were increased by a demonstration project effective from 2012-2014 that offset some of the payment reductions during this period.

Since the Affordable Care Act: Medicare Advantage Higher Payments Have Decreased

Table 1 shows that the transition to FFS-based rates under the Affordable Care Act, which began in 2012 and is scheduled to end in 2017, has already begun to reduce payments to MA plans relative to fee-for-service Medicare. Moreover, MA plan bids have declined from slightly higher (101 to 102 percent) to slightly less (96 to 98 percent) than fee-for-service costs in the last few years. This decline suggests that plans are adjusting to the new payment incentives by becoming more efficient.

---


22 Beginning in 2012, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services’ Medicare Advantage Quality Bonus Payment Demonstration extends quality bonus payments to 3 and 3.5 star plans, and eliminates the cap on blended county benchmarks that would otherwise limit quality bonus payments. The demonstration ends in 2014.

23 For example, MedPAC has stated that “The pressure of competitive bidding has led to either improved efficiency or lower margins that enable MA plans to continue to increase MA enrollment by offering benefit packages that beneficiaries find attractive.” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, “The Medicare Advantage Program: Status Report,” Chapter 13, Report to the Congress: Medicare Payment Policy, March 2014.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>MA Benchmarks as a % of FFS Expenditures (includes local and regional benchmarks)</th>
<th>MA Bids as a % of FFS Expenditures (represents bids for Medicare Part A and Part B benefits)</th>
<th>MA Program Payments as a % of FFS Expenditures (bids plus rebates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>118%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>113%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>118%</td>
<td>102%</td>
<td>114%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>112%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>109%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>113%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>110%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>112%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>107%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>110%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>104%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>112%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>106% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (1) This analysis is based on MedPAC analysis of MA plans’ bid submissions, and does not include any assumptions regarding coding intensity, nor are the figures adjusted to reflect actual MA and FFS experience. These data represent national averages. (2) MedPAC changed their methodology for estimating FFS expenditures in 2010 in a way that reduced the estimated MA payment ratio. Data for years 2006 – 2009 reflect projection of FFS experience under current law, which includes the expected cut in physician fee schedule due to the Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) system. For 2010 – 2014, the FFS projection is based on a scenario of a 0 percent physician update. (3) MedPAC assumes that plans in 2014 bidded, and will be paid about the same relative to fee-for-service as they were in 2013.


Since the Affordable Care Act: Medicare Advantage Enrollment Has Increased By Over 30 Percent

As discussed earlier, since the Affordable Care Act was enacted, actual MA enrollment has exceeded previous projections.24 Figure 1 and Figure 2 show that Medicare Advantage enrollment and penetration are at an all-time high. Nationwide, approximately 15 million Medicare beneficiaries25 are now enrolled in an MA plan, which represents nearly 30 percent of all beneficiaries in the program. MA enrollment has increased by nearly 38 percent since 2010 (when the Affordable Care Act was enacted), and by more than 25 percent since the transition to FFS-based payment rates began, and enrollment is projected to continue increasing.26

---


Since the Affordable Care Act: Medicare Advantage Plan Availability Remains High

Table 2 shows that MA plan availability has been stable since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, with most Medicare beneficiaries having access to at least one MA plan. Plan participation continues to be robust, with nearly all (99.1 percent)\(^{27}\) of beneficiaries having access to an MA plan in their area in 2014. Additionally, the number of plan choices has remained stable since the transition to FFS-based rates began in 2012. The average beneficiary can choose from among 10 plans (based on unweighted data, or 17 plans if the data are weighted by the number of Medicare eligible in a given county), including plans from a wide number of MA organizations – a number which has generally held steady for the past 4 years, during the transition to FFS payment levels. Additionally, over 80 percent of Medicare beneficiaries have access to a $0 premium MA plan.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Plan</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Plan Types</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Coordinated Care Plan (CCP)</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Fee-For-Service (PFFS)</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-Premium Plans With Drugs</td>
<td>89.8%</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Plan Choices (unweighted)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Number of Plan Choices (weighted)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) These figures exclude special needs plans and employer-only plans. A zero premium plan with drugs includes Part D coverage and has no premium beyond the Part B premium. Regional PPOs were created in 2006. Part D began in 2006.
(2) The unweighted averages are consistent with the MedPAC 2014 Report to the Congress.
(3) For the weighted averages, the data on the number of plan choices have been weighted based on the number of Medicare eligibles in a given county.
Sources: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC), March 2014 Report to the Congress; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Some Medicare Advantage plans participate in more geographic areas than others. Plans from the top 2 insurers are available to 83 percent and 73 percent of beneficiaries.28

**Since the Affordable Care Act: There Have Been Substantial Improvements in Medicare Advantage Quality**

The Affordable Care Act requires CMS to make Quality Bonus Payments (QBPs) to MA organizations that achieve at least four stars in a five-star quality rating system. The QBP demonstration finalized in the CMS 2012 Call Letter is testing whether providing scaled bonuses to MA organizations with three or more stars will lead to more rapid and larger year-to-year quality improvements in their quality scores, compared to the current law bonus structure. For contracts at or above three stars, QBPs were computed along a scale; the higher a contract’s star rating, the greater the QBP percentage. Although there was little change in MA plans’ performance on quality measures between 2008 and 2010, beginning in 2011, a larger number of measures have shown improvement in comparison with previous years.29 Table 3 shows that in 2014, over half of all MA enrollees were enrolled in plans with four or more stars, which represents a significant increase from the 24 percent of enrollees who were in such plans in 2011 and the 37 percent of enrollees who were in such plans in 2013. Additionally, over one-third of MA contracts have four or more stars in 2014, compared to 14 percent in 2011.30 31

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of MA Contracts With 4 or More Stars</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of MA Enrollees in Plans With 4 or More Stars</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Percentages are based on the total number of contracts with a quality rating.
Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

Since the Affordable Care Act: Medicare Advantage Premiums Have Fallen and Benefits Have Remained Stable

Medicare Advantage plans have responded to the Affordable Care Act incentives by becoming more competitive for enrollment by reducing or holding steady their beneficiary premiums; MA plans have not responded with an increase in beneficiary premiums. Figure 3 shows that since the passage of the Affordable Care Act, average MA premiums are down by 10 percent. The average MA premium in 2014 is projected to increase by only $1.64 from last year, coming to $32.60.

Over 80 percent of Medicare beneficiaries have access to a MA-PD plan (MA plan with Part D drug coverage) with a yearly maximum out-of-pocket limit of $3,400 or less. All MA enrollees are in plans that will have a maximum out-of-pocket limit for all Medicare covered services of $6,700 or lower. Additionally, access to MA supplemental benefits, such as dental and vision benefits, has generally remained stable.

31 CMS calculates star ratings from 1 to 5 (with 5 being the best) based on quality and performance for MA and Medicare prescription drug plans to help beneficiaries, their families, and caregivers compare plans.
Figure 3

Trend in Average Medicare Advantage Premiums, 2007-2014

Note: 2007-2013 data is weighted by July enrollment of the plan year, 2014 data is weighted by plan projected enrollment.

Source: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Since the Affordable Care Act: Health Insurance Company Revenues Have Increased

Analysis of data for several large publicly-traded insurance companies that participate in the MA program reveals that these insurers’ total revenues have increased by 29 percent since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act in 2010 (from $275 billion to $355 billion), and their combined operating profits have increased by 13 percent (from $20 billion to $23 billion). Meanwhile, these insurers’ profit margins have remained stable since the enactment of the Affordable Care Act, ranging from 5 to 6 percent on average between 2010 and 2013.\(^\text{35}\) Additionally, health insurance companies have looked to Medicare Advantage as an area to increase enrollment as employer-sponsored insurance coverage has eroded.\(^\text{36}\)

Financial analysts continue to maintain a positive long-term view of the MA program, and have suggested that “the industry can absorb the proposed 2015 payment adjustments through increased efficiency and benefit reductions while retaining members and economics.”\(^\text{37}\)

IV. Looking Forward to 2015

Our goal is to continue improving the MA program while keeping costs down, reducing fraud and abuse, and fostering competition. As discussed earlier, the changes that are underway under the Affordable Care Act reduce higher payments to MA plans, by transitioning to FFS-based payment rates; and provide incentives for quality improvements by basing part of the MA payment on plan quality performance. In 2015, we will continue implementing the provisions of the Affordable Care Act, and pursuing policies that seek to improve MA payment accuracy and quality, while providing greater protections for beneficiaries and value for taxpayers.

Two important factors are expected to affect MA payments in 2015:

- **Slower Growth In FFS Spending** – The Medicare program has been experiencing historically low growth in underlying Medicare per-capita spending, which is tied, in part, to successful initiatives undertaken to promote value over volume and help curb

\(^\text{35}\) Represents the unweighted average of the company-specific operating margins (total revenues divided by margins before income tax, interest, and non-net operating losses or gains) for 10 publicly-traded insurance companies participating in the Medicare Advantage (MA) program (e.g., with MA covered lives) that are included in the Bloomberg Industries dashboard, which includes information from these companies’ filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Together, these 10 companies account for 60 percent of total MA enrollment, including the 5 publicly-traded companies with the most MA enrollees, which collectively account for 50 percent of MA enrollment. The average operating margins (unweighted) for these 10 companies were: 5.2% in 2010, 5.9% in 2011, 4.9% in 2012, and 4.5% in 2013. The total combined revenue for these 10 companies was $275 billion in 2010, $285 billion in 2011, $312 billion in 2012, and $355 billion; and the total combined operating profits for these 10 companies was $20.2 billion in 2010, $21.6 billion in 2011, $21.9 billion in 2012, and $22.9 billion in 2013. These data include all company activities (such as non-health insurance business, overseas operations, etc.)

\(^\text{36}\) For example, analysts have stated that in 2013, the five largest publicly-traded U.S. health insurers’ “growth in revenue was driven primarily by insurers' targeted expansions of their Medicaid and Medicare Advantage enrollments, as most companies continued to experience attrition in their fully insured employer accounts.” Matt Dunning, “Medicaid, Medicare Advantage enrollment drive rise in health insurer revenue,” *Business Insurance*, March 30, 2014, accessed at http://www.businessinsurance.com/article/20140330/NEWS03/303309984?tags=%7C307%7C74%7C76.

fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicare fee-for-service program in recent years. As the rate of growth in underlying FFS Medicare spending decreases, MA plans are likely to be experiencing similar trends, which should be helpful as more and more counties transition to FFS-based MA payment rates.\textsuperscript{38}

- **Increases in Coding Intensity and Policy Responses** – Since 2004, when CMS began adjusting payments to MA plans based on the relative health of their enrollees, the average MA risk score (which measures beneficiaries’ estimated relative costs based on demographics and health characteristics) has increased faster than the average FFS risk score. However, most of this growth appears to result from MA plans identifying more diagnoses to code in a population that is no sicker, and therefore no more costly to treat, than before the coding change.\textsuperscript{39} The change in “coding intensity” has resulted in MA payments increasing to a greater degree than would be consistent with actual changes in the health risk of enrollees. The policy responses have included both across-the-board adjustments and changes to CMS’s risk adjustment model.\textsuperscript{40}

V. Conclusion

In order to make good on the original rationale for including private plans in Medicare, the Medicare Advantage program needs to continue improving quality, while lowering costs. The early experience following the enactment of the Affordable Care Act indicates that the MA program is moving in the right direction – beneficiaries have a robust choice of plans, costs are coming down (relative to fee-for-service), while benefits remain stable, and quality is improving.

\textsuperscript{38} For 2015, most counties will be fully transitioned to the new rate methodology, while others will continue to be based on a blended rate.


\textsuperscript{40} The CMS-HCC risk adjustment model was modified for 2013 and again for 2014 in ways that disproportionately affect plans with large increases in average risk scores. However, even if the 2014 model (which was 75 percent phased in for 2014) had been used starting in 2004, MA risk scores would still have increased every year since then. The FY 2015 President’s Budget proposes increasing the MA coding pattern adjustment to account for ongoing growth in coding intensity.
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While health policy observers are mainly focused on the number of people enrolled in the new federal and state marketplaces, fewer are keeping a close eye on fairly big changes in the estimates and projections for enrollment in Medicare Advantage plans.

The number of Medicare beneficiaries in Medicare private plans reached an all-time high this year of nearly 16 million beneficiaries, 6.3 million higher than the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) had projected in 2010 soon after the Affordable Care Act (ACA) was enacted (Figure 1). The CBO now projects Medicare Advantage enrollment will reach 22 million beneficiaries by 2020, more than double the number projected shortly after the ACA was enacted.
Medicare Advantage enrollment was expected to decrease in response to the reductions in payments to plans that were included in the ACA. The ACA payment reductions were adopted in response to concerns that Medicare was paying 14 percent more (http://medpac.gov/documents/Mar09_EntireReport.pdf) for beneficiaries in Medicare Advantage plans than it did for beneficiaries in traditional Medicare, on average. This contributed to higher Part B premiums for all beneficiaries and to Medicare’s fiscal challenges. The ACA froze payments to plans for 2011 and then phased in reductions between 2012 and 2017. The reductions were implemented by county on a 2-year, 4-year and 6-year schedule, with the longest phase in allowed for counties with relatively larger payment reductions. The phased-in approach aimed to give insurers more time to adjust to the reductions and to find ways to deliver services at a lower cost without negatively affecting the quality of patient care.

The payment reductions have now been fully implemented in more than half of all counties and will be fully implemented in about another quarter of counties next year (Figure 2). Since 2010, enrollment has increased by more than one third in the counties with a slower, 6-year phase in, and by even more in the other counties. Nationally, Medicare Advantage enrollment has increased by 41 percent since 2010.
When Congress debated the payment reductions in 2010, forecasters and analysts also projected that reductions would drive insurers to raise premiums, cut extra benefits and even pull out of the Medicare Advantage market as they did after the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. Thus far, however, the response by insurers to the ACA cuts has been more muted.

Beneficiaries today are able to choose among 18 Medicare Advantage plans, on average—a drop from prior years but still a fair amount of choice by most standards. Some Medicare Advantage plans terminated or consolidated coverage, but others are moving into new counties and expanding coverage, suggesting that at least some insurers are optimistic about their financial prospects.

The steady but unexpected rise in enrollment may be partly attributable to the quality bonus demonstration implemented by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services between 2012 and 2014. The demonstration awarded bonuses to nearly all Medicare Advantage plans and boosted the size of the bonuses beyond what the ACA provided. The bonuses helped to offset more than one-third of the revenue loss, according to a recent Kaiser Family Foundation analysis.

Figure 2: Status of Medicare Advantage Payment Reductions as of 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Share of Counties</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Benchmark Transition Progress as of 2014</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-year</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3 years completed</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-year</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3 years completed 1 year remaining</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

quarter of the projected Medicare Advantage reductions in plan payments over these three
years. Plans were required to use all bonus payments to provide extra benefits to enrollees,
attracting more seniors to Medicare Advantage plans.

As Medicare Advantage enrollment increased, monthly premiums actually declined
(http://kff.org/medicare/issue-brief/medicare-advantage-2014-spotlight-enrollment-market-update/) (from
an average $44 per month in 2010 to $35 per month in 2014). Still, some evidence indicates
that plans have made other adjustments to cut costs, for example, by increasing out-of-pocket
limits, which could affect beneficiaries with relatively high health care expenses, and by
narrowing their provider networks.

Plans may be looking for ways to tighten their belts and maintain profits, but the changes thus
far do not seem to be scaring seniors away. CBO’s most recent projections show enrollment on
track to increase steadily through 2023. Looking forward, it will be important for
beneficiaries to monitor potential changes in their coverage, costs and provider networks,
with the bonus demonstration ending and plans continuing to adjust to lower payments and
changing market conditions. But, for now, as Mark Twain might have said, the reports of the
demise of the Medicare Advantage program appear to be greatly exaggerated.